THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

W.P. NO.22271 OF 1997

Dated: 29.08.2005

Between

Smt N.V.Lakshmi

..Petitioner

and

1.The Project Officer, I.T.D.A, Utnoor, Adilabad District and others.

..Respondents.

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

W.P. NO.22271 OF 1997

ORDER:

Seeking a direction to call for the records connected with the Judgment of the A.P. Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad in O.A.No.4088 of 1996 dated 20.08.1997 for judicial review and quash the same as arbitrary and illegal, and

consequently direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as Panel Grade Head Mistress in Government Girls Ashram High School, Koslapur village, Indravelli Mandal, Adilabad District, the present writ petition has been filed.

02. The facts of the case lies in a narrow compass.

03. Initially, the petitioner was appointed as a Secondary Grade Teacher on 13.3.1981. Thereafter on completion of her B.A., B.Ed., examination, she was promoted as B.Ed School Assistant on 6.3.1990. Subsequently, she was promoted as a Penal Grade Head Mistress *vide* orders dated 19.7.1996 and she has been working as such since 27.7.1996. Questioning the said promotion, the 3rd respondent filed O.A.No.4088 of 1996 before the A.P. Administrative Tribunal Hyderabad, wherein he sought consideration of his case for promotion as per seniority and the same was allowed. Hence, the writ petition.

04. Controverting the allegations made in the writ petition, a counter affidavit has been filed by the 1st respondent-Project Officer, wherein it has been stated that the petitioner was promoted as Panel Grade Head Mistress on 19.7.1996 as she was fully qualified to hold that post. It is further stated that at present the 3rd respondent and the petitioner are continuing in the posts of Panel Grade Head Masters and therefore, there are no merits in the writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

05. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the statement made in the counter affidavit to the effect that the petitioner and the 3rd respondent are now being continued as Panel Grade Head Masters, we feel that the issue involved in this writ petition does not survive for adjudication and accordingly the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

06. Resultantly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

T.MEENA KUMARI,J

P.LAKSHMANA REDDY, J

29.08.2005

rkk